BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FI 2 6 1995

In the Matter of))	
Preparation for International Telecommunication Union World Radiocommunication Conference))) IC Docket No. 94-31	
To: The Commission:	DOCKET FILE COPY COIGINAL	

REPLY COMMENTS OF LEO ONE USA CORPORATION

Leo One USA Corporation ("Leo One USA"), by counsel, hereby responds to the July 14, 1995 Supplemental Reply Comments ("Supplemental Reply") of the Association of American Railroads ("AAR"). In the Supplemental Reply, the AAR questions the proposal made by Leo One USA in its July 6, 1995 Supplemental Comments in this proceeding. Specifically, Leo One USA urged the United States government to propose at WRC-95 an additional 3 MHz allocation in the Earth-to-space direction for the MSS Below 1 GHz service in addition to the 2 MHz currently proposed. It suggested the 450-470 MHz band (e.g., 456-459 MHz) which is currently allocated in the United States to land mobile services be designated for this allocation. Accompanying this suggestion, Leo One USA provided a detailed technical study prepared by LINCOM Corporation analyzing sharing between MSS Below 1 GHz systems and land mobile systems. This report concluded that MSS Below 1 GHz systems could successfully share with land mobile systems because the chances of MSS Below 1 GHz systems interfering with land mobile systems was virtually non-existent.

No. of Copies rec'd_____ List A B C D E In its reply and throughout this proceeding, AAR has taken a consistent approach with regard to sharing between the MSS Below 1 GHz and land mobile services. First, AAR unequivocally states that sharing is not possible despite the fact that it has never provided any technical analysis to support this conclusion. Second, it argues that this conclusion is necessitated by the public safety aspects of the land mobile service even though it never provides any analysis as to how public safety would be compromised. Third, AAR will not engage in any detailed technical discussion on the feasibility of sharing. This approach is dramatically demonstrated in the AAR July 6, 1995
Supplemental Comments where AAR completely fails to address or analyze the LINCOM Report or provide any technical analysis whatsoever.

This type of response should not be tolerated by the Commission. As new technologies require spectrum, incumbent users and potential new users must engage in a meaningful technical dialogue as to whether sharing is feasible. If incumbent users take the tact of AAR, the public will be denied the opportunity to benefit from many new technologies and services.

Here, Leo One USA has clearly made the case that MSS Below 1 GHz systems can share with land mobile systems. There is no technical analysis in the record to refute this conclusion.¹ Sharing is feasible and the FCC should work with the U.S. WRC

AAR claims that mobile radio systems operating at a channel located at 457 MHz are of critical importance to the railroad industry. However, there is no discussion of how this channel operates or the technical impact of MSS Below 1 GHz on the channel's integrity. Additionally, AAR claims that Leo One USA's analysis fails to adequately consider future traffic requirements of the land mobile and MSS Below 1 GHz services. Again, AAR provides no technical analysis on the relationship between traffic

Delegation to modify the existing allocation proposals for MSS Below 1 GHz systems so that a total of 5 MHz of uplink spectrum can be allocated at WRC-95.

AAR's claim of surprise with regard to the Leo One USA supplemental filing is ridiculous. Leo One USA in its May 6, 1995 Reply Comments indicated that it would be submitting the LINCOM Uplink Report in the near future. Moreover, the request for additional uplink allocations is anything but surprising given the current U.S. proposals which seeks only 2 MHz in the Earth-to-space direction for the MSS Below 1 GHz. As AAR is well aware, the MSS Below 1 GHz proponents in their May 18, 1995 Joint Supplemental Reply Comments requested that the U.S. propose 5 MHz in the Earth-to-space direction. ITU-R TG 8/3, the Conference Preparatory Meeting Report, the U.S. Industry Advisory Committee and the Commission in its Notice in this proceeding as well as each of the MSS Below 1 GHz proposals all recognize that at least 7-10 MHz of spectrum needs to be allocated in the near future to the MSS Below 1 GHz service. Without the proposal made by Leo One USA or some similar proposal, this requirement will not be met and the MSS Below 1 GHz service will be left with a severe shortage of uplink spectrum.

^{(...}continued)

requirements and interference. This is precisely the information developed in the LINCOM Report demonstrating the ability of MSS Below 1 GHz and land mobile services to share.

CONCLUSION

Given the above, Leo One USA respectfully requests that the United States immediately propose to WRC-95 an allocation of an additional 3 MHz of spectrum (for a total of 5 MHz) in the Earth-to-space direction for MSS Below 1 GHz systems.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert A. Mazer

Rosenman & Colin

1300 19th Street, N.W., Suite 200

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 463-4645

July 26, 1995

Attorney for Leo One USA Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Robert A. Mazer, hereby certify that the foregoing "Reply Comments of Leo One USA Corporation" was served by hand or first-class mail, postage prepaid, this 26th day of July 1995, on the following persons:

Scott Blake Harris, Chief*
International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, N.W., Room 800
Washington, DC 20554

Thomas S. Tycz, Chief*
Satellite & Radiocommunication Division
International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, N.W. Room 520
Washington, DC 20554

Cecily C. Holiday, Deputy Chief*
Satellite & Radiocommunication Division
International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, N.W. Room 520
Washington, DC 20554

Fern J. Jarmulnek, Chief*
Satellite Policy Branch
Satellite & Radiocommunication Division
International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, N.W., Fifth Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Ms. Kristi Kendall*
Satellite Policy Branch
Satellite & Radiocommunication Division
International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, N.W., Fifth Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Albert Halprin, Esq.
Halprin, Temple & Goodman
Suite 650 East Tower
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
(Counsel for ORBCOMM)

Raul R. Rodriguez, Esq. Leventhal, Senter & Lerman 2000 K Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006 (Counsel for STARSYS)

Henry Goldberg, Esq.
Goldberg, Godles, Wiener & Wright
1229 19th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
(Counsel for VITA)

Philip V. Otero, Esq. Vice President & General Counsel GE American Communications, Inc. Four Research Way Princeton, New Jersey 08540 (Counsel for GE Americom)

Leslie A. Taylor, Esq. Leslie Taylor Associates 6800 Carlynn Court Bethesda, MD 20817-4301 (Counsel for E-Sat, Inc.)

Albert J. Catalano, Esq. Ronald J. Jarvis, Esq. Catalano & Jarvis, P.C. 1101 30th Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007 (Counsel for Final Analysis)

Jill Abeshouse Stern, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037 (Counsel for CTA) Norman R. Leventhal, Esquire Raul R. Rodriguez, Esquire Stephen D. Baruch, Esquire Leventhal Senter & Lerman 2000 K Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006-1809

Veronica Haggert, Esquire MOTOROLA, INC. 1350 Eye Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005

Leonard Robert Raish, Esquire Fletcher Heald & Hildreth 1300 N. 17th Street, 11th Floor Rosslyn, VA 22209

Thomas J. Keller, Esquire
VERNER LIIPFERT BERNHARD
MCPHERSON & HAND, CHARTERED
901 15th Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005
(Counsel for The Association of American Railroads)

Tom W. Davidson, P.C.
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD
LLP
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036
(Counsel for Teledesic Corporation)

Christopher D. Imlay, Esquire BOOTH FRERET & IMLAY 1233 20th Street, N.W., Suite 204 Washington, DC 20036 (Counsel for The American Radio Relay League, Incorporated) Gary M. Epstein, Esq.
John P. Janka, Esq.
Mary E. Britton, Esq.
LATHAM & WATKINS
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
(Counsel for Hughes Space and Communications Company and Hughes Communications Galaxy, Inc.)

Robert A. Mazer

^{*} Hand Delivered